## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 052475 ORIGIN EB-08

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 FEA-01 L-03 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 EA-07 FRB-03 INR-07 IO-13 NEA-10 NSAE-00 USIA-06 OPIC-03 SP-02 TRSE-00 LAB-04 SIL-01 AGRE-00 OMB-01 ERDA-05 DODE-00 FPC-01 H-01 INT-05 NSC-05 PM-04 OES-06 SS-15 STR-04 ACDA-07 /141 R

DRAFTED BY EB/ORF/FSE:RMARTIN:MEP/FEA:JMORRIS APPROVED BY EB/ORF/FSE - RICHARD MARTIN FEA - RGOODWIN L/EB - GROSEN

-----101019Z 095631 /11

R 092201Z MAR 77 FM SECSTATE WASHDC TO USMISSION OECD PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE STATE 052475

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ENRG

SUBJECT: IEA: US RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE SEQ RE EXTRAORDINARY COSTS.

REF: IEA/SEQ/M(76)3

1. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE SEQ HAS ASKED DELEGATIONS TO RESPOND TO FOUR QUESTIONS POSED IN IEA/SEQ/M(76)3 CONCERNING EXTRAORDINARY COSTS WHICH MIGHT BE INCURRED BY IAB COMPANIES AS THE RESULT OF THEIR PARTICIPATION IN IEA ACTIVITIES. THE US RESPONSE WHICH FOLLOWS IS KEYED TO THE CHAIRMAN'S QUESTIONS AND SHOULD BE FORWARDED TO THE IEA SECRETARIAT (KEMPERMANN).

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 STATE 052475

2. "HOW CAN THE RISK OF CONFLICT OF COMMITMENTS OF OIL COMPANIES BE REDUCED OR ELIMINATED?"

FROM THE STATEMENT OF THIS POINT, AND THE SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF SITUATIONS IN WHICH EXTRAORDINARY COSTS MIGHT BE INCURRED BY A REPORTING COMPANY AS CONTAINED IN THE IAB PAPER DATED SEPTEMBER 22, 1976, THE U.S. DELEGATION INFERS THAT "CONFLICT OF COMMITMENTS" MEANS SITUATIONS IN WHICH A REPORTING COMPANY CANNOT FULFILL CONTRACTUAL OBLIQATIONS ENTERED INTO BEFORE AN EMERGENCY AS A RESULT OF ITS

PARTICIPATION IN THE IEP EMERGENCY ALLOCATION SYSTEM. THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS ARE BASED ON THAT INFERENCE.

THE U.S. DELEGATION BELIEVES THAT, AS A BASIC STEP TOWARD REDUCING OR ELIMINATING THE RISK OF CONFLICT OF COMMITMENTS, ALL PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES SHOULD MAKE A POLITICAL COMMITMENT TO CREATE, IF THEY HAVE NOT ALREADY DONE SO, THE NECESSARY DOMESTIC LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS WHICH WOULD (1) RECOGNIZE THEIR COMMITMENTS UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM (IEP), (SEE FOOTNOTE BELOW) AND (2) FACILITATE AVAILABILITY OF LEGAL DEFENSES AGAINST BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIMS ARISING FROM ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE INTERNATIONAL ALLOCATION.

THE U.S. DELEGATION NOTES THAT THE REPORTING COM-PANIES MAY BE ABLE TO INCLUDE IN THEIR FUTURE SUPPLY CONTRACTS FORCE MAJEURE OR SIMILAR CLAUSES WHICH SPECIFICALLY LIMIT THEIR LIABILITY IN SITUATIONS WHERE NON-PERFORMANCE OR PARTIAL PERFORMANCE RESULTS FROM IEP EMERGENCY ALLOCATION TRANSACTIONS.

IN THE EVENT IT APPEARS AS THOUGH CLAIMS OF EXTRA-ORDINARY COSTS MIGHT RESULT FROM SUCH TRANSACTIONS, AN "EARLY WARNING SYSTEM" SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED WHEREBY COM-PANIES WOULD NOTIFY THE IEA AS WELL AS APPROPRIATE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 STATE 052475

NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE MOMENT THAT THE RISK OF THE CLAIM HAD RISEN. THIS UOULD PERMIT REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION AND MODIFICATION, IF APPROPRIATE, AS WELL AS CONSULTATION WITHIN THE IEA AND BETWEEN GOVERNMENTS TO MINIMIZE THE RISK OF EXTRAORDINARY COSTS. CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO INCLUDING THIS CONCEPT IN THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MANUAL. (FOOTNOTE)

INTHIS REGARD, THE U.S. DELEGATION CALLS THE SEQ'S ATTENTION TO SECTION 251 OF THE ENERGY POLICY AND CONSERVATION ACT OF 1975 (PL 94-163). THIS SECTION PROVIDES THAT "(THE PRESIDENT MAY, BY RULE, REQUIRE THAT PERSONS ENGAGED IN PRODUCING, REFINING, DISTRIBUTING, OR STORING PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, TAKE SUCH ACTIONS AS HE DETERMINES TO BE NECESSARY FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS AS HE DETERMINES TO BE NECESSARY FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM INSOFAR AS SUCH OBLIGATIONS RELATE TO THE INTERNATIONAL ALLOCATION OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS."

THE U.S. DELEGATION BELIEVES THAT THESE STEPS -A POLITICAL COMMITMENT BY IEP PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES
TO CREATE THE NECESSARY LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS,
FORCE MAJEURE CLAUSES, AN "EARLY WARNING" SYSTEM AND

GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATIONS -- SHOULD HELP MINIMIZE THE RISK OF CONFLICTS OF COMMITMENTS BETWEEN ENTITIES WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THESE COUNTRIES.

3. "HOW CAN CONFLICTS BETWEEN VARIOUS PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES' LEGISLATION BE REDUCED OR ELIMINATED?"

THE RESPONSE TO THIS POINT IS CONTAINED IN THE RESPONSE TO THE FIRST POINT, NAMELY THAT "... ALL PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES SHOULD MAKE A POLITICAL COMMITMENT TO CREATE, IF THEY HAVE NOT ALREADY DONE SO, THE NECESSARY DOMESTIC LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS WHICH WOULD (1) LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 STATE 052475

RECOGNIZE THEIR COMMITMENTS UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY PROGRAM (IEP, AND (2) FACILITATE AVAILABILITY OF LEGAL DEFENSES AGAINST BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIMS ARISING FROM ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE INTERNATIONAL ALLOCATION."

AS A FIRST STEP IN THE PROCESS OF REDUCING CONFLICTS BETWEEN NATIONAL LEGISLATION, THE U.S. DELEGATION RECOMMENDS THAT EACH DELEGATION PROVIDE THE SECRETARIAT WITH COPIES OF SUCH LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS AS MIGHT NOW EXIST, OR INFORMATION ON PLANS REGARDING THE CREATION OF SUCH LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS.

4. "WHAT ARE THE EXISTING LEGAL POSSIBILITIES OF RECOURSE BY COMPANUES TO HOME GOVERNMENTS IN CASES OF EXPROPRIATION OF FOREIGN ASSETS?"

WITH RESPECT TO THE PRESENT LEGAL PROCEDURES APPLIED BY PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF COMPENSATING COMPANIES WHICH INCUR DAMAGES AS THE RESULT OF GOVERNMENT DIRECTIVES, THE UNITES STATES HAS NO GENERAL SUBSTANTIVE LEGISLATION PROVIDING COMPENSATION FOR COSTS INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH ACTIONS WHICH THE GOVERNMENT EITHER COMPELS OR ENCOURAGES. HOWEVER, THE FIFTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION, WHICH PROVIDES THAT PRIVATE PROPERTY SHALL NOT BE "TAKEN FOR PUBLIC USE, WITHOUT JUST COMPENSATION," MAY IN SOME INSTANCES REQUIRE THAT COMPENSATION BE MADE.

WHILE THE LAW DEALING WITH "TAKINGS" IS EXTREMELY COMPLEX, IT CAN NEVERTHELESS BE STATED WITH CONSIDERABLE CERTAINTY THAT THE TYPE OF COSTS COMTEMPLATED BY ROOM DOCUMENT NO. 8 OF SEPTEMBER 28, 1976, WOULD NOT BE COMPENSABLE ON THIS BASIS IN THE UNITED STATES. UNDER THE LAW, THE GOVERNMENT MAY EXERCISE ITS AUTHORITY TO REGULATE EVEN WITH SUBSTANTIAL FINANCIAL HARM TO THOSE AFFECTED, WITHOUT GIVING RISE TO A TAKING. A TAKING ARISES ONLY

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 05 STATE 052475

WHERE A PERSON THROUGH THE DIRECT ACTION OF THE UNITED STATES, IS DEPRIVED OF HIS COMPLETE PROPERTY INTEREST. WHERE THE PROFITABILITY OF HIS ENTERPRISE IS MERELY DIMINISHED, OR WHERE HE IS UNABLE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES, NO TAKING OCCURS.

HOWEVER, THERE ARE IN FACT PRECEDENTS FOR LEGISLATION COMPENSATING COMPANIES FINANCIALLY (OR PROVIDING OTHER RELIEF) FOR LOSSES INCURRED AS THE RESULT OF GOVERNMENT POLICIES. EXAMPLES OF SUCH LAWS INCLUDE:

- 1. ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE WHERE A REDUCED TARIFF IS DEEMED TO BE IN THE U.S. INTEREST GENERALLY, BUT WORKS HARM TO COMPANIES OR GROUPS OR WORKERS DUE TO INCREASED IMPORTS, THE GOVERNMENT OFFERS ECONOMIC, TECHNICAL, AND TAX ASSISTANCE TO PERMIT THEM TO ADJUST TO THE NEW TARIFF. THESE INCLUDE LOANS FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPANSION AND MODERNIZATION OF FACILITIES, AND PROGRAMS FOR RETRAINING AND RELOCATION OF WORKERS. SEE 19 U.S.C. 1901 ET SEQ.
- 2. OPIC THE OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION (OPIC) ENCOURAGES INVESTMENT ABROAD BY PROVIDING INSURANCE TO COMPANIES WHOSE ASSETS MIGHT, BECAUSE OF POLITICAL INSTABILITY IN THE HOST COUNTRY, BE FROZEN OR CONFISCATED WITHOUT COMPENSATION. OPIC HAS ONLY RECENTLY, HOWEVER, EXTENDED ITS INSURANCE TO POLITICAL RISK ASSOCIATED WITH OIL COMPANY ACTIVITIES OVERSEAS, AND HAS INDICATED A WILLINGNESS TO CONSIDER FUTURE APPLICATIONS ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.
- 3. PROTECTION AGAINST BREACH OF CONTRACT THE EMERGENCY PETROLEUM ALLOCATION ACT (PL 93-159) PROVIDES THAT WHERE A PERSON IS SUED FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT, HE MAY OFFER AS A DEFENSE THAT THE BREACH OCCURRED AS A RESULT OF COMPLIANCE WITH AN ORDER PURSUANT TO THE ACT. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 06 STATE 052475

THE ENERGY POLICY AND CONSERVATION ACT (PL 94-163) PROVIDES THAT THE FACT THAT A BREACH OF CONTRACT WAS CAUSED PREDOMINANTLY BY ACTION TAKEN (PURSUANT TO A VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT) TO IMPLEMENT THE ALLOCATION PROVISIONS OF THE IEP SHALL BE A DEFENSE TO ANY ACTION FOR SUCH BREACH OF CONTRACT. (SEC. 252 (K)).

IN CASES OF EXPROPRIATION, OF COURSE, THE U.S. GOVERNMENT WOULD GENERALLY CONSIDER FAVORABLY ANY REQUESTS FOR

DIPLOMATIC REPRESENTATIONS WITH OTHER GOVERNMENTS INVOLVED AND COULD CONSIDER FORMAL ESPOUSAL OF THE CLAIM OF ITS NATIONAL. IN ADDITION, U.S. LEGISLATION REQUIRES UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES THE ELIMINATION OF U.S.

ASSISTANCE TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS WHICH EXPROPRIATE THE PROPERTY OF U.S. NATIONALS IN VIOLATION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW, AS WELL AS THE ELIMINATION OF CERTAIN TRADE BENEFITS.

5. "WHAT IS THE POSSIBILITY OF SHARING THE FINANCIAL BURDEN BETWEEN THE PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES IF IT WERE TO BE AGREED THAT EXTRAORDINARY COSTS SHOULD BE MET BY SOME FORM OF COMPENSATION?"

THE U.S. DELEGATION RESERVES ITS VIEW ON THE POINT UNTIL IT HAS HEARD, AND THE SEQ HAS CONSIDERED, THE VIEWS OF OTHER DELEGATIONS ON THE FIRST THREE POINTS. IN GENERAL, IT BELIEVES THAT IN THE INTEREST OF PROMOTING EFFICIENT ALLOCATION OF OIL IN AN EMERGENCY ALL PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES SHOULD CONSIDER HOW THEY MIGHT ACT JOINTLY TO AVOID SITUATIONS GIVING RISE TO EXTRAORDINARY COSTS BUT THAT FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUES RAISED BY THIS POINT SHOULD BE DEFERRED PENDING CONSIDERATION OF THE FIRST THREE POINTS.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 22-Sep-1999 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: INTERAGENCY COOPERATION, PETROLEUM, POLICIES, MEETINGS, PRICE CONTROLS, BUSINESS FIRMS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 09-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW

Disposition Date: 22 May 2009 Disposition Event:

Disposition Lyein.
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE052475

**Document Source: ADS** 

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: EB/ORF/FSE:RMARTIN:MEP/FEA:JMORRIS

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a

**Expiration:** Film Number: D770082-0551

Format: TEL From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t197703111/baaaevkf.tel

Line Count: 246 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History: Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM Message ID: 05a301b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc Office: ORIGIN EB

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators:

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: IEA/SEQ/M(76)3

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 01-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2964607 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: IEA: US RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE SEQ RE EXTRAORDINARY COSTS.

TAGS: ENRG, US, IEA, IAB To: OECD PARIS

Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/05a301b0-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009